Reference Antenna Techniques for Cancelling RFI due to Moving Sources

> Daniel Mitchell, Gordon Robertson

> Sydney University

Overview

Reference Antenna Techniques A quick review of the techniques. Varying Delays How do the algorithms deal with moving transmitters. Examples

Summary



Setting cross-correlation to zero must set the canceller output interference to zero

Use two filters to average down reference receiver noise



Post-Correlation Cancellers



 Antennas are tracking the sky, so even fixed interferers will move through fringes

-> decorrelated cross-power measurements

Pre-Correlation: Vary weights at the RF sample rate (ns)

Post-Correlation: Need to modify the algorithms (s)

Pre-Correlation:

Can make the pre-correlation mitigation algorithm adaptive to track any changes

RFI voltage model is created from the addition of weighted and delayed reference voltage samples (equivalent to amplifying and phase shifting in frequency domain)

Example weights for broadband noise delayed by 5 samples



The weights track the changing geometric delay



Adaptive weights (red dots) result in correct cancellation



Post-Correlation:

If fringe rate is known and not too large, modify the post-correlation mitigation algorithms

Here applied in the frequency domain

GPS Interference

Cross-power between the main signal and the reference signal

Canceller output power using decorrelated power measurements

Canceller output power using corrected power measurements



a. Proportion of correlated power, F₂, remaining in P(M,R)

Canceller Output Spectra for Three Integration Lengths



 Both pre- and post-correlation mitigation algorithms can be made to work with moving sources

But both lead to a noise increase

Simulation

 Use simulated broadband noise to compare the pre- and postcorrelation mitigation algorithms

Over the course of the correlation, the geometric delay between reference and primary antennas changes by 1.5 RF sample langths

Broadband Noise Simulation. Higher frequencies have a larger fringe rate

a. Cross-Power, P_{mr} 1.5 Corrected Correlated Power Correlated Power Ρ \times mr mr Amplify back to the 0.5 correct power level $\mathbf{0}$ b. Corrected Cross–Power, $\dot{P_{mr}}$ 1.5 777617 0.5 **RMS** noise increase P' F mr mr mr 0 50 100 150 200 250 300 350 400 450 500

Spectral Channel, N

A Comparison of the Techniques



Summary

Modifications can be made to track varying interference

Pre-correlation -> allow weights to adapt Post-correlation -> allow for decorrelation

 Both increase the amount of injected receiver noise