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Abstract—The proliferation of bandwidth-hungry multimedia traffic over IEEE 802.11-based WLANs has over-crowded the ISM bands. The opening of the UHF TV bands by the FCC for unlicensed opportunistic operation promises to relieve the demand on these bands. However, supporting bandwidth-intensive media streaming applications over TV white spaces can be quite challenging. This is due to the unpredictable nature of spectrum availability combined with the fluctuations of channel quality. The utilization of this herculean feat through unlicensed usage, whilst providing protection to licensed primary users, requires intelligent and adaptive protocol design. In this paper, we propose a QoS-aware parallel sensing/probing architecture, called QASP A, which exploits inherent channel and user diversities exhibited by the wireless system. Aiming at maximizing sensing efficiency while achieving a high detection accuracy, QASP A incorporates an adaptive double-threshold-based sensing mechanism. It also embodies a cross-layer protocol, which uses an adaptive framing structure to minimize the control overhead, and a novel spectrum assignment strategy targeted at improving the spatial reuse of the network. The proposed spectrum assignment strategy supports both channel bonding and aggregation. Our simulations validate the ability of QASP A in guaranteeing the demands of high-bandwidth opportunistic media streams while supporting low-bandwidth streams. They also show the superior performance of QASP A compared to the scheme used in the ECMA-392 standard (for opportunistic indoor streaming).
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I. INTRODUCTION

Wireless communications have witnessed tremendous growth over the last decade, which has placed significant demand for RF spectrum. Spectrum scarcity is only expected to worsen in time, as new wireless services make their way to the commercial world. The FCC has accordingly declared a “spectrum crisis.” In the words of its Chairman Julius Genachowski, “the biggest threat to the future of mobile communications … is the looming spectrum crisis” [1].

Traditionally, much of the spectrum has been statically licensed for a given use. Exceptions to this norm include the ISM bands, which facilitate many indoor and short-range communications (e.g., WLANs, Bluetooth, etc.). However, these bands are reaching their capacity limit, as more bandwidth-hungry multimedia traffic is being pushed through them (e.g., media streaming, interactive gaming, real-time voice/video calling, etc.). Dynamic spectrum access (DSA) tries to address this spectrum crisis by allowing spectrum-agile devices with cognitive radio (CR) capabilities to operate opportunistically, as secondary users (SUs), over certain licensed bands, including the TV white spaces (TVWS).

However, supporting the quality of service (QoS) requirements of multimedia applications using the DSA paradigm is a herculean feat, to say the least. These applications require a sustained throughput (in bps) to maintain acceptable video quality. This can be quite difficult to guarantee in a DSA environment that is characterized by spatiotemporal variations in spectrum availability. In fact, the mere presence of spectrum holes that, on average, exhibit low primary user (PU) activity is not enough to enable multimedia communications. One also needs to take into account the quality of these holes. To minimize the disruption to media flows, the spectrum sensing process needs to identify stable idle channels, i.e., ones that are expected to remain idle for an extended period of time.

The primary objective of this paper is to provide a framework for opportunistically transporting heterogeneous traffic, which includes high-bandwidth media streams as well as best-effort flows, over TVWS without interfering with the operation of PUs. To this end, we design a QoS-aware parallel sensing/probing architecture called QASP A and a cross-layer protocol that encompasses all the needed components. QASP A involves five key novelties.

First, it uses the estimated PU activity profiles to construct the schedule for parallel (concurrent) sensing/probing of different TVWS channels, and for determining the best channel to use for control and management over the next frame. In contrast to [2], in which channel quality is inferred by periodically probing random spectral bands without any proper scheduling mechanism, in our scheme, links are scheduled for sensing/probing processes such that their rate demands are probabilistically guaranteed to be satisfied.

Second, QASP A adopts an adaptive TDMA-based frame structure whose objective is to minimize the control overhead and hence maximize the data-transmission period. This scheme is intended to address the deficiencies in fixed-frame protocols, including OP-MAC [3] and the one used in the ECMA-392 standard for opportunistic media streaming.

Third, QASP A uses a novel multi-channel sensing/probing scheme, that exploits the inherent multi-user diversity of the wireless system to maximize the number of discovered opportunities over a given time period. Several schemes have been
developed in the literature to maximize the spectrum utilization while limiting the interference to PUs (see, for example, [4]). These schemes do not exploit the multi-user diversity of the wireless system. Many wireless protocol designs have been proposed to improve the spatial reuse of the network (e.g., [5]–[7]). However, our problem is further complicated. In addition to maximize the network’s spatial reuse, we want to meet the rate demands of the HP flows and guarantee interference-free communication for PUs. The proposed channel assignment algorithm attempts to overcome these challenges by exploiting the available resources (channels) to the maximum extent.

Fourth, we integrate into QASPA an adaptive double-threshold-based spectrum sensing algorithm, which aims at maximizing the spectrum sensing efficiency while achieving a high PU detection accuracy. In contrast to the conventional single-threshold sensing, double-threshold sensing schemes can simultaneously achieve low miss-detection and low false alarm probabilities using a short sensing time [8]. However, these schemes face the “uncertainty region problem.” If the sensing outcome falls between the two sensing thresholds (i.e., in the uncertainty region), the channel is neither considered busy nor idle [8]. In this paper, we address this problem by adaptively adjusting the channel sensing time, so that the uncertainty region is reduced while, at the same time, the required miss-detection and false alarm probabilities are met. In contrast to [9], the total sensing time per frame is fixed in our protocol, and the objective of our adaptive scheme is to maximize the number of discovered opportunities within the allocated sensing time, while maintaining the required detection accuracy. Unlike the cooperative sensing scheme in [10], our scheme is efficient even with reduced number of operational SUs in the network. This way, we do not compromise the sensing accuracy even when the number of operational SUs is small.

Finally, using the outcome of the channel sensing/probing process, we design a centralized spectrum assignment scheme for QASPA that supports channel bonding and aggregation and aims at maximizing the number of concurrently active flows. Note that instead of focusing on the performance of an individual link, we are interested in optimizing the overall efficiency of the entire opportunistic network.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we define our network model. We provide an overview of QASPA in Section III. The adaptive frame structure is introduced in Section IV, followed by the proposed parallel sensing/probing design in Section V. In Section VI, we present the channel allocation scheme. We evaluate the proposed design in Section VII. Main conclusions are provided in Section VIII.

II. NETWORK MODEL AND PROTOCOL ASSUMPTIONS

We consider an opportunistic infrastructure-based CR network (CRN) with a centralized controller, called the master device (MD) (see Figure 1), which plays an analogous role to a wireless access point (AP). In contrast to a WiFi-based AP, data communications (i.e., user payload) can take place directly between any two nodes (two slave devices, or a slave device and the MD), whereas control information (e.g., scheduling information, channel quality reporting information, etc.) can be exchanged only between a slave device and the MD. The conventional viewpoint of SU-PU coexistence is preserved, wherein SUs strive to communicate over channels that are not being used by PUs. An arbitrary number of peer-to-peer (P2P) links, comprised of CR-enabled Tx-Rx pairs, get associated with the MD through appropriate signaling mechanism before initiating any data communication. Each device is equipped with a single half-duplex transceiver, operating at a constant transmission power. Hence, a node can only listen to or transmit over one channel at a time. This setup is the same as the one used in ECMA-392 architecture.

We classify the traffic over active P2P links into two classes; bandwidth-intensive high priority (HP) flows and best-effort low priority (LP) flows. Specifically, flows with a rate demand greater than $R_b$ Mbps are classified as HP flows, and all other flows are deemed as LP flows. The type of flow (HP/LP) carried by a link is indicated using a single bit in the association packet sent to the MD. Let $L$ represent the set of HP flows in the network ($|L| = L$). HP flows are assumed to be long-lived, with a stringent rate demand of $R_{i}^{(j)}$ for flow $j \in L$. We envision separate communication paths for control and data. All control packets needed to initiate data communications along a given link are exchanged between the MD and transmitter of the link. On the other hand, once the MD allocates channels for the links, data exchanges take place between the respective peer devices without any intervention from the MD. All peer devices are assumed to be within the communication range of the MD, which is typically the case in indoor networks.

Let $\mathcal{N}$ ($|\mathcal{N}| = N$) denote the set of orthogonal channels in the UHF band that can be used opportunistically. No two links can transmit over the same channel at the same time. The channel quality, obtained through probing, is assumed to be stationary over the channel’s coherence time, denoted by $\tau_c^{(i)}$ for channel $i \in \mathcal{N}$. PU activity over channel $i$ is modeled as a continuous-time Markov process, which alternates between busy and idle PU states, with average busy and idle durations of $T_{on}^{(i)}$ and $T_{off}^{(i)}$, respectively (see, for example, [11], [12]).
III. PROTOCOL OVERVIEW

To support opportunistic media streaming, we design a QoS-aware parallel sensing/probing architecture (QASP A) that uses a predefined frame length $T_{frame}$. Synchronization among various SUs is achieved by disseminating control packets over a dynamically assigned control channel (CC), which is determined on-the-fly by the MD based on the estimated PU channel-usage profile. Similar to ECMA-392 [13], in our setup, each frame consists of a number of medium access slots (MAS), reserved for various operations, including network-wide synchronization, parallel sensing/probing, concurrent data communication, etc.

QASP A adopts an adaptive frame structure (AFS) design, whereby fields used to support some of the protocol operations vary from one frame to another (even though the overall frame length is fixed at $T_{frame}$). This design is intended to eliminate redundant operations, resulting in drastic reduction in protocol overhead and improvement in the network goodput. A summary of the protocol main functions is provided below.

Association. In line with [14], we assume the existence of an intelligent AP discovery mechanism for associating prospective P2P links with the MD and establishing a synchronized network. Once associated, links carrying HP flows provide their rate demands to the MD. Rate demands play a dominant role in the operation of other protocol modules.

Beacon Period (BP). Beacon packets are transmitted by the MD at two instances. First, at the beginning of each frame, the type of the TDMA frame to be used (as determined by the AFS algorithm) is broadcasted to all links in the network. Second, towards the end of the frame, the designated CC for the following frame period is broadcasted to the entire network. Allowing the CC to be adjusted on a per-frame basis gives great flexibility and robustness against fast PU dynamics.

Sensing/Probing Scheduling. The scheduling of concurrent sensing/probing processes forms the crux of the QASP A design. The MD schedules the channels that various links need to sense/probe during the spectrum discovery phase. Such scheduling takes into account the rate demands of HP flows and the estimated PU profiles, and attempts to maximize the number of discovered spectrum opportunities (hence, the number of active links in the network). Unlike the proposed schemes in [2], our sensing/probing scheduling takes into account the link-dependent channel quality obtained from previous probing instances. In [2], the quality of one channel over a given link is used to infer the quality of the other channels, based on simple path-loss models. This is not always accurate in mobile networks, as it does not take into effect the prevailing multi-path conditions over the other channels.

Reporting. After sensing/probing a specified set of channels, each link reports the PU state of these channels along with their measured qualities (if detected idle) to the MD. This information is used by the MD for channel allocation.

Channel Assignment. The MD strives to maximize the number of admitted flows with satisfied rate demands (HP and LP), by incorporating channel bonding and aggregation techniques. We introduce a second round of probing to further increase the admission probability. The major motivation behind this design is to support multiple flows simultaneously, so as to increase the spatial reuse of the network.

Data Transmission. After channel assignment, links communicate in a P2P fashion over the assigned channels for a duration $T_{data}$, which depends on the frame type.

It is to be instilled that the BP’s at the start and end of a frame are the only two recurring fields in a frame. The occurrence of other operations in the frame is in accordance with the AFS algorithm, discussed in Section IV.

Estimation of PU Dynamics—Unpredictable PU dynamics result in intermittent connectivity and high channel switching rates for SUs. The observed correlations of PU activity over TVWS (demonstrated in [12]) permit us to estimate the PU profile based on past observations. This minimizes the time to identify an idle channel. Subsequently, incorporating the estimated PU profile while designing spectrum sensing sequences leads to increased discovery of spectrum opportunities [11]. In contrast, random scheduling of sensing events can lead to inefficient sensing, as SUs may end up sensing channels that are more likely to be busy. To account for PU dynamics, we employ an exponentially weighted moving average-based estimation approach, wherein the weight given to the recent sample is appropriately adjusted to cope with PU dynamics.

We assume that the MD has an initial estimate of the PU profile over all channels. Subsequent estimates are obtained through sensing. In our design, we use a sliding window of size $T_{est}$ to estimate $T_{on}^{(i)}$ and $T_{off}^{(i)}$. These estimates, denoted by $\hat{T}_{on}^{(i)}$ and $\hat{T}_{off}^{(i)}$, respectively are used in computing the probability that channel $i$ is idle at time $t$, denoted by $P_{idle}^{(i)}(t)$.

$$P_{idle}^{(i)}(t) = \frac{\hat{T}_{off}^{(i)}}{\hat{T}_{off}^{(i)} + \hat{T}_{on}^{(i)}} + \frac{\hat{T}_{on}^{(i)}}{\hat{T}_{off}^{(i)} + \hat{T}_{on}^{(i)}} - e^{-\left(\frac{\hat{T}_{on}^{(i)}}{\hat{T}_{off}^{(i)} + \hat{T}_{on}^{(i)}}\right) t}.$$ (1)

IV. ADAPTIVE FRAME STRUCTURE (AFS) DESIGN

To reduce the control overhead, our protocol adaptively select one of four frame types: S, S/P-1, S/P-2, and D frames. The formats of these frame types are depicted in Figure 2.

Four parameters determine the decision process of AFS design; $T_{off}$, $\tau_c$, $L$, and the arrival rate of new SU connection requests ($\tau_{new}$). To simplify the notation, we drop the superscript $i$ when we are not referring to any specific channel. Without loss of generality, we assume that each channel transports only one flow at a time, which can be either HP or LP. The rationale behind the design of AFS is as follows. If $\hat{T}_{on}^{(i)} > T_{frame}$ and $P_{idle}^{(i)}(t) > \beta$, where $\beta$ is a design parameter, then channel $i$ does not need to be sensed in the current frame, as this channel is expected to remain idle throughout the current frame. On similar grounds, if $\hat{T}_{on}^{(i)} > T_{frame}$, then the quality of channel $i$ is not expected to vary throughout the current frame duration, obviating the need to carry out channel probing. We interrupt the operation of the AFS algorithm every $\tau_{new}$ seconds to accommodate new traffic requests and to check for any changes in the rate demands of existing flows. This step is very crucial to cope with the dynamic nature of applications in today’s mobile computing platforms (e.g., a user who subscribes to a VoD application can suddenly switch to an e-mail application, thereby changing his rate demand
To jointly accommodate the arrival of new flows and changes in the rate demands of existing users, we use S/P-1 frame which enforces mandatory channel probing to obtain the link-dependent channel quality information.

To cope with PU dynamics and fluctuating channel quality, we use the S/P-2, S, and D frame types. The quantities whose values are not expected to expire within $T_{frame}$ are termed valid entries. We continuously monitor the validity of each entry by using a timer at the MD. Initially, we group all channels for which $\tau_c \geq T_{frame}, T_{off} \geq T_{frame}$, and $P_{idle}(t) > \beta$ into a list called List$_1$. Other channels that do not satisfy these conditions are grouped in List$_2$. If the channels in List$_1$ can guarantee the rate demands of all HP flows in $\mathcal{L}$, then we use a D frame, as we do not need to sense or probe any additional channels. The computation of the number of satisfied flows over a given channel set requires the knowledge of the channel quality, which varies from one link to another within the same network. On the other hand, LP flows can be transported over idle channels whose rate demands can be met using List$_1$. By employing this methodology, we obviate the need to probe the channels in List$_1$, where $\mathcal{L}' \subseteq \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{L}'$. This way, we avoid the control overhead incurred by probing the channels whose $\tau_c$ is valid throughout $T_{frame}$. The remaining links in $\mathcal{L} \setminus \{\mathcal{L}', \mathcal{L}''\}$ are considered for the schedule of joint sensing and probing processes over the channels in List$_2$ with $\tau_c < T_{frame}$ and $T_{off} > T_{frame}$ (we group these channels into a new list, called List$_3 \triangleq \text{List}_2 \setminus \text{List}_1$). It is to be instilled that if the receiver experiences any interference from a PU, the corresponding channel is reported to the MD during the next BP and not used again for data transmission until it is sensed to be idle, thereby restricting the maximum interference duration to $T_{frame}$. A pseudo-code of the AFS design is shown in Algorithm 1.

### Algorithm 1 Adaptive Frame Structure Design

**Input:** $T_{on}(i), T_{off}(i), \tau_c(i), \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{P}(j), \tau_{new}, T_{frame}$, and $\beta$

**Output:** Frame type (S, D, S/P-1, or S/P-2)

#### Part I: Channels Categorization

1. for $i \in \mathcal{N}$ do
2. if $(\tau_c(i) > T_{frame} \& \tau_{off}(i) > T_{frame} \& P_{idle}(i) > \beta)$ then
3. Add $i$ to List$_1$
4. else
5. Add $i$ to List$_2$
6. end if
7. end for
8. for $i \in \text{List}_2$ do
9. if $(\tau_c(i) > T_{frame} \& T_{off}(i) > T_{frame})$ then
10. Add $i$ to List$_3$
11. else if $(T_{off}(i) > T_{frame})$
12. Add $i$ to List$_4$
13. end if
14. end for

#### Part II: Frame Type Selection

15. if (new links arrived or $R^j_{ij}, j \in \mathcal{L}$, are updated) then
16. Set the frame type to S/P-1
17. else if (List$_1$ can support all HP flows in $\mathcal{L}$) then
18. Set the frame type to D
19. else if (List$_1$ & List$_3$ can support all HP flows in $\mathcal{L}$) then
20. Set the frame type to S
21. else
22. Set the frame type to S/P-2
23. end if

![Frame types used in AFS.](image)
Avoiding Stale Entries in the Database—A natural question that arises is what to be done with the links in $\mathcal{L}'$ during the sensing/probing phase of S, S/P-1, and S/P-2 frames, and how can links in $\mathcal{L}' \cup \mathcal{L}''$ be better used during the probing operation of S/P-1 and S/P-2 frames. This issue arises because we wish to maintain synchronization among the associated links in the network, and improve the accuracy of estimated PU profiles by maximizing the number of sensing samples collected from a given channel per unit time.

Note that channels without a valid $T_{\text{off}}$ entry are not considered for sensing/probing, which creates a “starvation” condition for these channels, i.e., such channels end up having an undetermined PU state even though they could exhibit good quality and/or low PU occupancy. To avoid this situation, we allow links in $\mathcal{L}'$ and links carrying LP flows to sense channels whose PU state is undetermined (List$_4$) along with channels in List$_1$ during the sensing phase of S frame, and allow links in $\mathcal{L}' \cup \mathcal{L}''$ along with links transporting LP flows to sense List$_1$, List$_3$, and List$_4$ channels during the sensing/probing phase of S/P-1 and S/P-2 frames. It is to be noted that no two links are made to sense the same channel at any given point in time, in order to increase the number of discovered opportunities. The scheduler implemented in QASP A excludes channels in List$_4$ and links in $\mathcal{L}'$. In turn, links in $\mathcal{L}'$ are made to sense maximum number of channels in List$_1$ and List$_3$ during the spectrum discovery period. Also, links contained in $\mathcal{L}''$ are made to sense channels in List$_3$, during the spectrum discovery phase of S/P-1 and S/P-2 frames. After sensing the scheduled channels in List$_3$, links contained in $\mathcal{L}''$ use the remaining time in the discovery phase (if any) to sense additional channels in List$_4$ in an attempt to improve the overall discovery efficiency.

V. QASP A DESIGN

To maximize the sensing efficiency, QASP A encompasses two functional blocks. First, an adaptive double-threshold-based sensing mechanism. Second, a parallel sensing/probing scheduling mechanism.

A. Adaptive Double-threshold-based Sensing Algorithm

In QASP A, we resort to a double-threshold-based sensing (DTS) approach instead of the conventional single-threshold sensing (STS). As will be shown in this section, while achieving the same sensing accuracy, DTS consumes several orders of magnitude less sensing time than STS. Figure 3 depicts STS as well as DTS. DTS uses two thresholds ($\epsilon_l$ and $\epsilon_h$) in contrast to only one threshold ($\epsilon$) in STS. In DTS, the sensed channel is considered idle if the received energy over this channel is below $\epsilon_l$, busy if the received energy exceeds $\epsilon_h$, and uncertain if the received energy falls between $\epsilon_l$ and $\epsilon_h$.

In principle, the spectrum sensing accuracy is characterized by the probabilities of miss-detection and false alarm, denoted by $P_{md}$ and $P_{fa}$, respectively. For a given sensing threshold $\epsilon$, $P_{d} = 1 - P_{md}$ and $P_{fa}$ can be expressed as [15]:

$$P_{d} = Q \left( \frac{\epsilon - \gamma - 1}{\sqrt{\frac{U}{2\gamma + 1}}} \right)$$

$$P_{fa} = Q \left( \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{\frac{U}{2\gamma + 1}}} \right)$$

where $Q(\cdot)$ is the complementary distribution function of a standard Gaussian random variable, $\gamma$ is the SNR of the received PU signal, $U$ is the number of sensing samples ($U = \tau_s f_s$, where $f_s$ is the sampling frequency), and $\sigma_n^2$ is the variance of the additive white Gaussian noise.

To simultaneously achieve low $P_{md}$ and low $P_{fa}$, the STS approach requires a considerably large sensing time (denoted by $\tau_s$) [15]. Instead, DTS can simultaneously achieve low $P_{md}$ and low $P_{fa}$ using a much smaller sensing time. In DTS, $\epsilon_l$ is selected such that the required $P_{md}$ (which equals to $1 - P_d$) is satisfied. On the other hand, $\epsilon_h$ is selected to satisfy the required $P_{fa}$ (which equals to $P_{fa}$). The relations between $\epsilon_l$ and $\tilde{P}_d$, and $\epsilon_h$ and $\tilde{P}_{fa}$ are given by:

$$\epsilon_l(\tau, \tilde{P}_d) = \sigma_n^2 \left[ \frac{2\gamma + 1}{U} Q^{-1}(\tilde{P}_d) + \gamma + 1 \right]$$

$$\epsilon_h(\tau, \tilde{P}_{fa}) = \sigma_n^2 \left[ \frac{\sqrt{U}}{\tilde{P}_{fa}} Q^{-1}(\tilde{P}_{fa}) + 1 \right]$$

The required sensing time to satisfy $\tilde{P}_d$ and $\tilde{P}_{fa}$ for STS and DTS schemes, denoted by $\tau_{s,\text{single}}$ and $\tau_{s,\text{double}}$, respectively, can be easily expressed as [15]:

$$\tau_{s,\text{single}} = \frac{1}{\gamma^2 f_s} \left[ Q^{-1}(\tilde{P}_{fa}) - Q^{-1}(\tilde{P}_d) \sqrt{2\gamma + 1} \right]^2$$

$$\tau_{s,\text{double}} = \frac{1}{f_s} \left[ \epsilon_h \sqrt{2\gamma + 1} Q^{-1}(\tilde{P}_d) - \epsilon_l Q^{-1}(\tilde{P}_{fa}) \right]$$

Let $\rho$ denote the probability of uncertainty (i.e., the probability that the received energy over a given channel falls between $\epsilon_l$ and $\epsilon_h$). Figure 4 compares $\tau_{s,\text{single}}$ and $\tau_{s,\text{double}}$ for different $\tilde{P}_d$ and $\tilde{P}_{fa}$ values. It shows that for a given $\tilde{P}_d$ and $\tilde{P}_{fa}$, and with a small value of $\rho$, $\tau_{s,\text{double}}$ is 3 to 4 orders of magnitude less than $\tau_{s,\text{single}}$.

In spite of achieving much smaller sensing time than STS, DTS faces the problem of the uncertain region. DTS can certainly (i.e., while satisfying $\tilde{P}_d$ and $\tilde{P}_{fa}$) determine the state of the channel only if its received energy is below $\epsilon_l$ or above $\epsilon_h$. Otherwise, the state of the channel cannot be determined certainly. To overcome this problem, we propose in this paper an adaptive DTS-based (ADTS) algorithm.

The idea behind the ADTS algorithm comes from equations (4) and (5). For a fixed $\tilde{P}_d$ and $\tilde{P}_{fa}$, if the sensing
2. Compute the corresponding $\epsilon_l$.

3. Sense the channel for $\tau_l$ seconds.

4. While the state of the channel is uncertain and the sensing time is less than its maximum value, do:
   - Increment the sensing time $\tau_s_k$ by $\delta$.
   - Go to Step 2.

5. If the sensing time $\tau_s_k$ is less than its maximum value by $\Delta$, then increment the maximum sensing time of the next channel to be sensed by $\Delta$.

Figure 5 shows an illustrative example for the adaptive sensing algorithm, where the number of channels to be sensed is 4. Initially, each channel is allocated a maximum sensing time of $T_{s,max}/4$, which is assumed in this example to be equal to $5\delta$. The first channel is sensed in the first round and its sensing time $\tau_{s_1}$ is determined according to the above algorithm. In our example, $\tau_{s_1}$ is assumed to be $3\delta$ (i.e., $2\delta$ less than the maximum allocated sensing time). The saved $2\delta$ seconds are allocated for the next channel, so that the maximum sensing time for the second channel becomes $5\delta + 2\delta = 7\delta$. The remaining three rounds continue in the same way.

### B. Scheduling of Sensing/Probing Processes for HP Flows

In [2], an access point and spectral band selection scheme, called MAWS, is proposed. In this scheme, the channel quality is inferred by periodically probing random spectral bands without any proper scheduling mechanism. However, in our scheme, while scheduling the sensing/probing processes for links transporting HP flows, we probabilistically guarantee the aggregate bandwidth of the discovered opportunities by each link $j$ to be equal to $R_j^{(2)} + \kappa$, where $\kappa < R_j^{(2)}$. The rationale behind this approach is two-fold: to include the impact of
fluctuations in channel quality, and to ensure serving the links that carry LP flows in the network using the excess discovered opportunities. Although the effect of mobility is indirectly being captured through the coherence time, it is very hard to guarantee the validity of the estimated channel quality with lapse in time. We assume that this quality does not vary drastically in a short interval of time. Hence, for any link \( j \) with rate demand \( R_d^{(j)} \), we choose \( \kappa \) such that \( \kappa < R_d^{(j)} \).

We formulate our scheduling problem as a constrained optimization problem with the objective of maximizing the number of HP flows with satisfied rate demands. Let \( \mathcal{N} \) (\( |\mathcal{N}| = \tilde{N} \)) be the set of channels that are considered for sensing/probing scheduling, and let \( \mathcal{L} \) (\( |\mathcal{L}| = \tilde{L} \)) be the set of links that will participate in the sensing/probing process. Let \( y_i^{(j)}, i \in \mathcal{N}, j \in \mathcal{L}, \) be a binary variable which equals 1 if channel \( i \) is scheduled to be sensed/probed by link \( j \), and 0 otherwise. Let \( R_i^{(j)} \) be the rate supported by channel \( i \) over link \( j \), and \( \Theta_i^{(j)} \) be the rate supported by channel \( i \) over link \( j \). Then, our optimization problem can be formulated as a non-linear binary program as follows:

\[
\text{Problem 1.}
\]

maximize \[
\sum_{j \in \mathcal{L}} \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} 1_{\{\Theta_i^{(j)}>R_i^{(j)}+\kappa\}} + \frac{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} y_i^{(j)} R_i^{(j)}}{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{L}} y_i^{(j)} R_{\max}} \right\}
\]
subject to \[
\sum_{j \in \mathcal{L}} y_i^{(j)} \leq 1, \forall i \in \mathcal{N} \quad (8)
\]
\[
\sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} y_i^{(j)} \leq M_j, \forall j \in \mathcal{L} \quad (9)
\]

where \( R_{\max} \) is the maximum supported data rate by any channel \( i \in \mathcal{N} \), and \( 1_{\{\cdot\}} \) is the indicator function. The first term in the objective function indicates that we wish to maximize the number of satisfied HP flows through parallel sensing/probing. The second term is intended to resolve the tie in case of multiple optimal solutions. The second term always has a value \( \leq 1 \). Constraint (8) ensures that no channel can be sensed/probed by more than one link in a given frame. Constraint (9) restricts the maximum number of channels that can be sensed/probed by link \( j \) to a predefined value \( M_j \). Inoperable links whose requested rate demands cannot be met are made to sense the channels whose PU state is undetermined to prevent the occurrence of a “starvation” condition. Constraints (8) and (9) can be written in matrix form as \( Ay \leq \tilde{b} \), where \( A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq \tilde{N}, 1 \leq j \leq \tilde{N}}, \) \( y = (y_i^{(j)})_{i \in \mathcal{N}, j \in \mathcal{L}} \), and \( \tilde{b} = (1, \ldots, M_1, M_2, \ldots) \). Let \( x_i^{(j)} = \max \{0, y_i^{(j)}\} \).

**Proposition 1.** Matrix \( A \) in Problem 1 is totally unimodular (TU) [16]. This means that the decision variables \( y_i^{(j)} \) can be relaxed to continuous variables, and the resulted solution to Problem 1 is still optimal.

**Proof.** It can be seen that:

- \( a_{ij} \in \{0, 1\} \).
- Each column in \( A \) contains at most two nonzero coefficients, i.e.,
  \[
  \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{N}+\tilde{L}} |a_{ij}| \leq 2. \quad (10)
  \]

- There exists a partition \( (M_1 = \{1, \ldots, \tilde{N}\}, M_2 = \{\tilde{N}+1, \ldots, \tilde{N}+\tilde{L}\}) \) of rows such that each column \( j \) containing two nonzero coefficients satisfies:
  \[
  \sum_{i \in M_1} a_{ij} - \sum_{i \in M_2} a_{ij} = 0. \quad (11)
  \]

Since the above three conditions are satisfied, matrix \( A \) is TU [16].

VI. Allocation of Data Channels

In this section, we propose an efficient data channel assignment algorithm, which incorporates channel aggregation and bonding techniques. Our objective is to minimize the number of channels allocated to any HP link, in an attempt to maximize the number of admitted flows in the network.

**A. Channel Assignment Algorithm**

Several wireless protocol designs have been proposed to improve the spatial reuse of the network (e.g., [5], [7]). In addition to maximizing the network’s spatial reuse, our problem is further complicated by the need to meet the rate demands of the HP flows and guarantee interference-free communication for PUs. The proposed channel assignment algorithm attempts to overcome these challenges by exploiting the available resources (channels) to the maximum extent.

Given the sensing/probing outcomes provided by the active links, the MD initially computes the feasibility of supporting the rate demands of each HP flow using the channels which were sensed to be idle by the corresponding link. Let \( K_j \) (\( |K_j| = K_j \)) be the set of idle channels discovered by link \( j \). The MD checks if \( \sum_{i=1}^{K_j} R_i^{(j)} > R_d^{(j)} \). If this condition is satisfied, the MD executes Problem 2 below to compute the optimal number of channels needed to support the rate demand on that link. The links that do not meet the above condition are classified as unsatisfied links. Let \( x_i^{(j)} \), \( i \in K_j \), be a binary variable which equals 1 if channel \( i \) is assigned to link \( j \), and 0 otherwise. Our optimization problem is stated as follows.

\[
\text{Problem 2.}
\]

minimize \[
\sum_{i \in K_j} x_i^{(j)} + \frac{\sum_{i \in K_j} x_i^{(j)} R_i^{(j)}}{\sum_{i \in K_j} x_i^{(j)} R_{\max}} \quad (12)
\]
subject to \[
\sum_{i \in K_j} x_i^{(j)} R_i^{(j)} \geq R_d^{(j)}. \quad (13)
\]

Problem 2 is a non-linear binary program. The objective function aims at minimizing the number of channels to be allocated for a given link while meeting the requested rate demand. The second term in the objective function is used to break the ties among multiple optimal solutions. When multiple optimal solutions exist, our formulation ensures the selection of channels with minimum aggregated data rate, such that the remaining channel(s) can be used during the second
of quorum systems, several distributed rendezvous schemes have been proposed in the literature (see, for example, [17]–[22] and references therein).

In here, we exploit the centralized architecture to maintain a time-varying CCC using the estimated PU profiles. The selection of a channel as a CCC depends on its PU state rather than its quality, as control packets are transmitted at the lowest rate. In the current frame, let \( \mathcal{H} \) be the set of channels whose \( T_{\text{eff}} > T_{\text{frame}} + \mu \), where \( \mu \) (< \( T_{\text{frame}} \)) is a small quantity that accounts for discrepancy in the estimated values of \( T_{\text{eff}} \). Within \( \mathcal{H} \), the idle channel with the highest probability of remaining idle during the next frame is selected as a CCC. The selected CCC is broadcasted by the MD during the BP at the end of the current frame.

**Adaptive Reporting Strategy**—Motivated by the high switching speeds of the A/D converters deployed in software defined radio front-ends [23], we propose an adaptive reporting strategy wherein the time allocated to the reporting phase \( (T_{\text{rep}}) \) is decided dynamically based on the number of channels scheduled for sensing/probing. Assume that \( r \) channels were scheduled by the MD. We equally divide \( T_{\text{rep}} \) into \( r \) sub-slots, one for each channel. In addition, the MD broadcasts a hopping sequence to rendezvous with the devices to gather the report packets. This hopping sequence information is encapsulated in the sensing/probing scheduling packet. Assume that the hopping sequence is \( C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_r \). The MD tunes to channel \( C_i \) during the \( i \)th sub-slot. Suppose that channel \( i \) is scheduled for sensing/probing by link \( j \). If channel \( i \) is sensed to be idle, it will be probed. The destination device of link \( j \) will then send a control packet to the MD, containing the PU state of the channel along with its quality (in case of successful probe packet exchange) during the \( i \)th sub-slot. Note that if a channel was sensed to be busy by link \( j \), no control packet is sent during the \( i \)th sub-slot. Consequently, the MD interprets this channel to be occupied, and excludes it when assigning channels for data communication. The major accomplishment in this reporting strategy is the elimination of channel contention delay, which might hinder the timely exchange of vital control information. The MD waits for \( T_{\text{rep}}/r \) at each sub-slot for hearing any control packets from the associated SUs. If this time expires, the MD gracefully moves to the next sub-slot in \( T_{\text{rep}} \).

**VII. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION**

**A. Evaluation Setup**

We consider an area of \( 50 \times 50 \) m\(^2\), mimicking an indoor environment. We consider 40 channels in the UHF band, each of 6 MHz bandwidth. Each channel can support one of five rates viz. 2, 4, 8, 12, and 16 Mbps, each with probability 0.2. This randomness in the channel data rate captures wireless phenomena like fading, shadowing, and RF interference in our simulations. We use the approximation used in [24] to capture \( \tau_c \) in our simulations. Specifically, \( \tau_c(t) = \frac{9\lambda}{16\sigma v(t)} \), where \( v(t) \) represents the velocity of the CR transmitter towards the intended CR receiver along the line of sight, and \( \lambda = \frac{\nu}{f} \) represents the wavelength of the signal corresponding to the carrier frequency \( f_c \). We vary \( \tau_c \) uniformly between 1 and 10 secs. \( T_{\text{on}} = T_{\text{off}} = 5 \) secs.

As elucidated earlier, we resort to a frame-based time-slotted protocol. The time slots can be described at the
TABLE I: Durations of various operations in the TDMA frame.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Duration (MAS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Association</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beacon Period</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/P Scheduling</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel Reporting</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel Assignment</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second-round Probing</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

medium-access level using MASs, with the duration of each MAS defined to be 1 msec in our setup. The fixed number of MASs allocated for each operation in our TDMA frame is illustrated in Table I. $T_{frame} = 250$ MASs. Each link carrying HP flow is randomly assigned a rate demand between 5 and 15 Mbps. The rate demands of the HP flows are updated once they complete transferring their video flows of predefined durations, as dictated by the video trace-files. $P_d$ and $P_{fa}$ are set to 0.9 and 0.25, respectively. $\beta$ in Section IV is set to 0.75. All LP flows are composed of constant bit rate (CBR) traffic with constant data-packet size of 1 K byte. We use a 500 byte control packet. All simulations are carried out for 10000 frames using CSIM (a C-based process-oriented discrete-event simulation package), and reported values are the average of 20 runs. The optimization problems are solved using MATLAB.

B. Evaluation of QASP A

1) Impact of QASP A on Discovery Efficiency: We study the discovery efficiency, defined as the number of idle channels discovered per unit time, achieved by QASP A and contrast it with a random scheduling scheme which does not make use of the estimated PU profiles and prior channel quality information while scheduling links for sensing/probing. This random scheduling is very similar to the channel probing scheme in [2]. Note that we allow unique sets of channels to be sensed/probed by individual links even under the random scheduling scheme, so as to prevent probe packet collision during the spectrum discovery phase. $T_{s,max} = 20$ msecs and $\kappa = 2$ Mbps. $R_d = 3$ Mbps for all HP flows. Figure 6 shows that the number of operational links has a profound impact on the discovery efficiency. Operation of sensing/probing processes in parallel boosts the discovery efficiency significantly. In contrast, random scheduling, despite being facilitated with parallel sensing/probing, fail to match the efficiency of QASP A due to the lack of knowledge on PUs profiles.

It is to be noted that the increase in discovery efficiency is also heavily attributed to the ADTS scheme. To verify this, we compare the discovery efficiency of STS, DTS, and the proposed ADTS, for a single-link scenario under various values of $T_{s,max}$. DTS scheme uses a fixed per-channel sensing duration. The uncertain region in DTS prevents us from accurately deciphering the PU state of the channel, and as a conservative approach we deem these channels to be busy. This results in lower discovery efficiency, as several channels, which might be idle, are deemed occupied. We break this norm in our ADTS scheme, wherein we use an adaptive per-channel sensing duration which helps us to accurately identify the PU state of the channel, thereby leading to improved sensing efficiency, as seen in Figure 7.
Next, we compute the bandwidth discovered by QASPA to illustrate the impact of considering the channel quality in the sensing/probing scheduling. In this experiment, we fix the number of HP flows to 10, and vary \( R_d \) of each HP flow from 5 to 15 Mbps. Figure 8 shows that the bandwidth discovered by random scheduling is much less than that of QASPA.

Unlike other related works, we propose a complete end-to-end architecture to support multimedia applications in CRNs. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first work to propose one such comprehensive solution to support multimedia communications in CRNs. Thus, in order to accurately project the efficiency of our overall architecture, we had to compare the proposed components of our architecture with base models.

2) Impact of \( \kappa \) on QASPA: \( \kappa \) plays a very important role in mobile wireless networks, wherein the quality of the channel changes frequently due to node mobility. To evaluate the impact of \( \kappa \) on network throughput, we consider 10 HP and 5 LP flows. \( T_{s, \text{max}} \) is set to 20 milliseconds. Figure 9 illustrates the impact of \( \kappa \) on the network throughput for two different rate demands. It is clear that the network throughput exhibits an upward trend for increasing \( \kappa \) in both scenarios until it reaches a breaking point, beyond which it starts decreasing.

Increasing \( \kappa \) beyond a certain limit prevents the scheduler from scheduling channels for the links to discover the high bandwidth requirement of \( R_d + \kappa \) in a restricted spectrum discovery duration, leading to the links being dropped from data communication. In turn, these links sense unique channels during the spectrum discovery phase to improve the accuracy of the estimated PU usage profiles. Subsequently, these dropped links get associated during the occurrence of next S/P-1 frame. This experiment illustrates the need to choose an optimal value of \( \kappa \) during the operation of the protocol. Based on our experiment, we recommend \( \kappa \) to be \( \sim 2.5 \) Mbps to overcome the impact of channel quality fluctuation in a relatively low mobile environment. Figure 10 clarifies that the observed throughput plunge in the previous experiment was because of links getting dropped owing to their high demands. It is interesting to note that positive values of \( \kappa \) might not be suitable in all cases, as the channel quality can improve from the previously measured instant, in which case we need to choose negative values for \( \kappa \). However, our protocol is designed in a way that the excess opportunities can be used to serve unsatisfied HP flows via second round probing process.

C. Evaluation of AFS

1) Reduction in Control Overhead: Control overhead is the ratio of control packets to the total network packets exchanged over the entire simulation time. In our protocol, fast PU dynamics and low \( \tau_c \) induce higher control packet generation. To evaluate the reduction in control overhead achieved by AFS, we conduct two experiments. First, we steadily increase the channel vacancy factor \( \zeta = \hat{T}_{\text{vac}}/(\hat{T}_{\text{con}} + \hat{T}_{\text{vac}}) \) over all channels in the system while fixing \( \tau_c \) at 5 seconds. The rate demands of all HP flows is set to 7 Mbps with \( \kappa \) set to 2 Mbps. Figure 11 shows the reduction in control overhead achieved by AFS over the ECMA-392, which uses fixed framing structure. Note that, the fixed framing structure used in our comparison is comparable with the OP-MAC protocol proposed in [3]. Increasing the number of HP flows increases the exchange of control packets in the network, as seen in Figure 11. The adaptive nature of AFS helps in reducing the control overhead by \( \sim 126\% \) when compared to the ECMA-392 frame design.

Second, we study the impact of \( \tau_c \) on the control overhead by simulating 10 HP flows, each with \( R_d = 7 \) Mbps and \( \kappa = 2 \) Mbps, \( \zeta \) is set as 0.5. A small value of \( \tau_c \) implies high mobility and hence high channel quality variations, which invariably induces higher control packet exchanges. Figure 12 illustrates the drastic reduction in the control overhead achieved by AFS.

2) Impact on Network Throughput: The reduction in the control overhead achieved by AFS allows us to increase the network throughput by up to 460% due to the increased use of D frames. For large number of HP flows, Figure 13 clearly shows that AFS helps in improving the network throughput significantly, thereby making our protocol tailor made for supporting multiple HP flows simultaneously.
D. Evaluation of Adaptive Channel Assignment Strategy

1) Impact of Channel Bonding/Aggregation Techniques:
Efficiency of channel bonding/aggregation in supporting HP flows can be readily seen in Figure 14 wherein we simulate 10 HP and 5 LP flows. We set $\zeta$ to 0.5. In this experiment, we compare three schemes viz. best single-channel transmission, assignment based on channel bonding/aggregation without using second round of probing, and assignment based on channel bonding/aggregation augmented with second round of probing. The best single-channel transmission scheme tries to accommodate the HP flow over the channel which exhibits highest data rate among the ones discovered through channel probing. This scheme tries to emulate the "High preference" channel maintained at each node in MMAC protocol [25]. However, in our case, the "best single-channel" is chosen based on the supported data rate rather than channel occupancy. The best single-channel transmission scheme closely follows the proposed channel assignment strategy when the rate demands of the HP flows are relatively low. We can see a sharp dip in throughput with increase in $R_d$ as the increased bandwidth requirement can no longer be accommodated in a single narrow-band channel leading to the flow being dropped. This observation is very unappealing given the requirements imposed by current multimedia applications. Channel bonding/aggregation scheme helps in significantly improving the throughput as the value of $R_d$ increases by bundling together the available resources. One interesting observation made in Figure 14 is the minor reduction in throughput by using second round channel probing when the value of $R_d$ is relatively low. This demonstrates the slight increase in overhead introduced by second round channel probing process leading to a minor reduction in throughput. However, Figure 14 also demonstrates the increase in throughput brought in by deploying second round probing process when the value of $R_d$ is high. However, as the value of $R_d$ increases, the efficiency of second round channel probing becomes prominent as we clearly observe improvement in network throughput as shown in Fig 14.

2) Impact of Second Round Channel Probing: Second round channel probing often acts as a decider when it comes
to supporting multiple HP flows with very high demands. When the PU activity is high over all channels, the number of idle channels at any given instant is reduced, as a result several links might not be able to meet their demands by solely relying on the opportunities discovered by them. In such a scenario second round channel probing proves to be beneficial as seen in Figure 15. Thus, channel assignment based on aggregation/bonding augmented with second round channel probing can be very useful when multiple HP flows need to be supported over a CRN exhibiting high PU activity.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

Supporting multimedia traffic over CRNs has always allured the research community. We proposed a framework to guarantee the rate demands of multiple multimedia flows in a centralized setup by designing several efficient spectrum exploration and exploitation strategies. We advocated a technique to drastically reduce the control overhead in frame-based systems, so as to improve the network throughput. We also proposed an efficient channel allocation strategy, employing channel bonding and aggregation, which proved to be fruitful in increasing the throughput.
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